Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/712,381	NAKANISHI, HAYATO
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	LUN-YI LAO	2629
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>LUN-YI LAO</u> .	(3)	•
(2) Robert Jackson.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>16 December 2006</u> .		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,2 and 4</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Wright et al.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>If the limitation of "the ineffective optical region" changed to the whole ineffective optical region in claim 2, the claim 2 rejection will be withdrawn. To await for applicants' proposed amendment for claim 1 to overcome the 102 rejection based on Wright et al.</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
·		
	·	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required